Kingston SEND Partnership Board Dataset, 2021/22 Q3

				King	gston SEND Partn	ership Board Data	set, 2021/22 Q3			
	workir	ng well?:								
The qu	lity of	of parent and carers that are responding to surveys a f EHC Plans continues to improve with the number of	EHC plans assessed t	o as good or better in	ncreasing				C plan ar	d annual review process
nere h	as bee	training across the system has been undertaken with en an increase in the proportion of pupils with EHCPs	supported in mainstr	eam early years setti			llbeing and Mental I	Health		
pleas	ing nu	the previous quarter there has been reduction in ave imber of parents and carers who gave feedback and a			s, including both tho	se whose children ha	ve an EHCP and thos	e who do not, the	ink you to	them all.
hat is The for	ecast t	total number of EHC Plans looks likely to slightly excee	ed the Safety Valve Ag	greement for this par	ameter					
There h	ave be	en an increase in the number of EHC plans issued this een further price placement increases at post 16 place	ements, most signific	antly in independent	special schools					
Waiting	times	plans being issued within the 20 week timeline has d s for therapies and emotional wellbeing and mental h	ealth services remain		ta from the DfE sho	ws that this is higher	han the national ave	erage of 51% (Nov	ember 2	021)
Recruit	ment a	ues to be a local and regional shortage of specialist pla and retention challenges continue across several serv	ices including the SEN			logists				
· Ine pro		d funding gap in HNB is higher than forecast, this is ma	Baseline 31st							
Levels of Need	Ref 1	Key Performance Indicator Actual EHCPs	March 2021 1,429	Target 21/22 1,558	Q1 1,462	Q2 1,471	Q3 1,540	Q4	RAG	Comment Safety Valve agreement = Jan 2022 census at 1537
	2	% increase in EHCPs	7.00%	9%	1.04%	0.62%	4.69%		G	Safety Valve Agreement = 7% increase Jan '21 to Jan '22
vels of		New EHCPs Ceased EHCPs	194 125	175 74	40 14		77 16		R G	Safety Valve agreement = Jan 2022 census at 172, 179 at end of Q3 Safety Valve Agreement = Jan 2022 census at 72, 57 at end of Q3
<u> </u>		% of pupils with SEN Support	9.4%	12.2%	9.4%	9.4%	9.4%		A	Target is national average incl. independent schools
	7	HNB Surplus / Deficit HNB Spend	£6,564,065 £30,270,400	£5,432,000 £31,791,000	£5,120,000 £31,679,272	£5,751,020 £32,103,720	£5,914,799 £32,267,479		R	Excludes £1.2m GF contribution
	9	HNB Spend - direct HNB Spend - central	£28,793,720 £1,476,680	£29,912,412 £1,878,588	£29,838,396 £1,840,876	£30,238,620 £1,865,100	£30,435,204 £1,832,275		R G	
	_	In Year DSG Deficit Cumulative DSG Deficit excl. SV	£5,149,967 £24,401,000	£3,482,000 £28,607,000	£3,484,000 £27,885,000	£3,874,000 £28,275,000	£4,029,000 £28,430,000		R G	Includes £1.2m General fund contribution Before Safety Valve funding
	12	Cumulative DSG Deficit incl. SV	£12,401,000	£11,607,000	£10,885,000	£11,275,000	£11,430,000		G	After Safety Valve funding
		Funding gap as % of HNB allocation Early Years outturn	-30.09% -£871,000	-22.76% -£750,000	-22.33% -£436,000	-24.79% -£436,000	-25.60% -£470,000		A	
		HNB DSG allocation Block transfers	£23,268,514 £437,800	£25,897,000 £462,000	£25,896,881 £662,800	£25,689,881 £662,800	£25,689,881 £662,800		A G	
8	17	General Fund	£0	£1,200,000	£1,200,000	£1,200,000	£1,200,000		G	
Financ		Average cost - all HNB 2 - 18 Average placement cost < 16s	£789 £18,778	£841 £16,483	£838 £16,880	£849 £18,110	£854 £16,330		A G	Include future demand - 136.33 EHCPs staggered throughout the year
		Average placement cost > 16s Average cost of an independent < 16	£13,206 £38,140	£11,877 £33,313	£12,905 £31,916	£13,502 £34,354	£13,689 £35,776		R R	Include future demand - £101,766, 3 staggered throughout the year
									A	Maintained and academy include future demand; £415,285, 11.67 staggere
		Average £ state special in borough	£29,683	£28,650	£29,280		£29,008		R	throughout year. Includes the £10k placement costs. Maintained and academy include future demand; £12,170 - 3.33 staggered
	_	Average £ state special out borough Average cost of an independent > 16	£30,204 £32,658	£27,473 £34,051	£30,040 £36,103	£30,570 £35,511	£30,034 £37,635		R	throughout the year. Includes the £10k placement costs.
			£2,495 £39,088	£2,711 £29,202	£3,034 £32,853	£3,519 £32,809	£2,616 £38,082		G R	
		Average cost of in borough special school > 16	£30,606	£24,949	£25,275	£25,282	£28,507		R	
	28	Average placement cost - alternative provision	£17,695	£17,171	£16,768	£16,768	£16,768		G	Decreased short term places (54 to 45 full year); increased estimated EHCP places (11.27 to 16); average EHCP average cost reduced from £30k to £28k
for	29	Average cost of an Education, Health and Care Plan (EHCP)	£21,183	£20,684	£19,962	£20,553	£20,205		G	including future demand - 57 (excluding SRP increases)
Value	30	% of spend in: the independent, non-maintained special school and independent college sector	28.45%	26.60%	26.86%	27.84%	27.87%		Α	Including future demand - £101,766
			Baseline 31st							
	Ref	Key Performance Indicator	March 2021	Target 21/22	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	RAG	Comment
nent		% of children and young people who say they receive information they understand about their				No responses (CYP			Α	2/3. CYP survey was reopened at the end of December, more responses wil
gagen	31	support Total number of children and young people whose		75%	75%	survey was closed)	67%			be received in Q4.
on, En										
ion,		views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation							G	Kingston Local Plan Focus Group = 5 SEND Members = 11
ticipation, I production	32	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give			66	48	16		G	Kingston Local Plan Focus Group = 5 SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December)
1: Participation,	32	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have			66	48	16		G	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey
tream 1 : Participation, and Co-productio		views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not (excluding bespoke consultations).	464		66	48 79	16 56			SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December)
rkstream 1 : Part and Co-	33	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not (excluding bespoke consultations). % of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the	464		43	79	56			SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey, Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey
Workstream 1: Participation, and Co-production	33	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work. Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not (excluding bespoke consultations). 8 of parents and carers from under-represented	464							SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey, Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events.
	33	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not (excluding bespoke consultations). % of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the	464 Baseline 31st March 2021	Target 21/22	43	79	56	Q4		SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback surver Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey
Wo	33 34 Ref	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not (excluding bespoke consultations). S of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in	Baseline 31st	Target 21/22	43	79	56 38%	Q4	G	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey, Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups
Wo	33 34 Ref 35	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not (excluding bespoke consultations). 8 of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint tommissioning cycle increases	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no	n/a	43 38% Q1	79	56 38%	Q4	G	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey. Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 33% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production.
Wo	33 34 Ref 35	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have nEHCP and those who do not (excluding bespoke consultations). Ye of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline measure		43 38% Q1	79 21% Q2 3	56 38%	Q4	G G RAG	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey, Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 33% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. No responses in 33 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun.
Wo	33 34 Ref 35 36	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have nEHCP and those who do not leckulding bespoke consultations). % of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases % of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no	n/a	43 38% Q1 99 45	79	56 38%	Q4	G G RAG	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback surver Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. No responses in Q3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4.
nt Wo	33 34 Ref 35 36	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have nEHCP and those who do not leckulding bespoke consultations). 8 of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases % of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support How satisfied are you with the accessibility, timeliness and quality of support your child/young	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline measure	n/a n/a 80%	43 38% Q1 99 45	79 21% Q2 3 5 No responses (CYP survey was closed)	38% Q3 3 1 No responses	Q4	G G RAG	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey, Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve porpoduction. No responses in Q3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4. Accessibility = 5/5 Immeliness = 4/5
Wo	33 34 Ref 35 36	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not leexhulding bespoke consultations). 8 of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Mumber of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases 6 of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline measure	n/a	43 38% Q1 99 45	79 21% Q2 3 5 No responses (CYP	56 38% Q3 3	Q4	G G RAG A A	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey, Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Nor responses in G3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4. Accessibility > 5/5
Wo	33 34 Ref 35 36 37	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have nEHCP and those who do not leckulding bespoke consultations). 8 of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases % of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support How satisfied are you with the accessibility, timeliness and quality of support your child/young	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline measure	n/a n/a 80%	43 38% Q1 99 45	79 21% Q2 3 5 No responses (CYP survey was closed)	38% Q3 3 1 No responses	Q4	G G RAG A A	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey, Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. No responses in Q3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4. Accessibility = 5/5 Immeliness = 4/5
Wo	33 34 Ref 35 36 37 38	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not (excluding bespoke consultations). So of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services. Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases % of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support How satisfied are you with the accessibility, timeliness and quality of support your child/young person has received? Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people who said serviced in the joint commissioning cycle increases So of children and young feople who said they got the right amount and type of support How satisfied are you with the accessibility, timeliness and quality of support your child/young person has received? Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tire 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline assure New KPI - no	n/a n/a 80%	43 38% Q1 99 45 100% 50%	79 21% Q2 3 5 No responses (CYP survey was closed) 80% Q2	38% Q3 3 1 No responses 93% Q3		G G RAG A A	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey, Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. No responses in Q3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4. Accessibility = 5/5 Timeliness = 4/5 Quality = 5/5
Wo	33 34 Ref 35 36 37 38	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not (excluding bespoke consultations). Ye of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases So of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support How sattisfied are you with the accessibility, timeliness and quality of support your child/young person has received? Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Titer 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (Waiting)	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline measure	n/a n/a 80%	43 38% Q1 99 45 100%	Q2 3 5 No responses (CYP survey was closed)	38% Q3 3 1 No responses		G RAG A A RAG R	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey, Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. No responses in Q3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4. Accessibility = 5/5 Timeliness = 4/5 Quality = 5/5
Wo	33 34 Ref 35 36 37 38	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not feexhuding bespoke consultations). 8 of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Morth of the programment of the properties of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support thow satisfied are you with the accessibility, timeliness and quality of support your child/young person has received? Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tiez (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (Walting)	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline assure New KPI - no	n/a n/a 80%	43 38% Q1 99 45 100% 50%	79 21% Q2 3 5 No responses (CYP survey was closed) 80% Q2	38% Q3 3 1 No responses 93% Q3		G G RAG RAG	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback surve Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. No responses in Q3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4. Accessibility = 5/5 Timeliness = 4/5 Quality = 5/5
Wo	33 34 Ref 35 36 37 38 Ref	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not (excluding bespoke consultations). So of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services. Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases So of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support How satisfied are you with the accessibility, timeliness and quality of support your child/young person has received? Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (Waiting) Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (receiving) CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (receiving)	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline measure Baseline 31st March 2021 (Q4)	n/a n/a 80%	43 38% Q1 99 45 100% 50%	79 21% Q2 3 5 No responses (CYP survey was closed) 80% Q2	38% Q3 3 1 No responses 93% Q3		G RAG A A RAG R	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey, Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. No responses in Q3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4. Accessibility = 5/5 Timeliness = 4/5 Quality = 5/5
Wo	33 34 Ref 35 36 37 38 Ref 40 41	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not lexituding bespoke consultations). Ye of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases So of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support How satisfied are you with the accessibility, timeliness and quality of support your child/young person has received? Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (Waiting) Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (receiving) CAMHS Tier 2 waiting times (referral to Choice appointment) % 3 weeks or longer	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline 31st March 2021 (Q4) 176 482	n/a n/a 80%	43 38% Q1 99 45 100% 50% Q1 194	79 21% Q2 3 5 No responses (CYP survey was closed) 80% Q2 196	93% Q3 1 No responses 93% Q3 193 193 193		G RAG A A RAG R	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey, Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. No responses in Q3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4. Accessibility = 5/5 Timeliness = 4/5 Quality = 5/5
Wo	33 34 Ref 35 36 37 38 Ref 40 41	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have net HCP and those who do not (excluding bespoke consultations). Ye of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services. Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases. Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases. With the joint commissioning cycle increases. Ye of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support How satisfied are you with the accessibility, timeliness and quality of support your child/young person has received? Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (Waiting) Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (receiving) CAMHS Tier 2 waiting times (referral to Choice appointment) 59 weeks or longer CAMHS Tier 2 waiting times (referral to Choice appointment) 59 weeks or longer CAMHS Tier 2 waiting times (Choice to treatment), % 9 weeks or longer	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline 31st March 2021 (Q4) 176 482 91%	n/a n/a 80%	43 38% Q1 99 45 100% 50% Q1 194 600	79 21% Q2 3 5 No responses (CYP survey was closed) 80% Q2 196 629 91%	38% Q3 3 1 No responses 93% Q3 193		G RAG A A RAG RAG RAG R	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback surve Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. No responses in Q3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues arour consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4. Accessibility = 5/5 Timeliness = 4/5 Quality = 5/5
Wo	33 34 Ref 35 36 37 38 Ref 39 40 41	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have net HCP and those who do not lexituding bespoke consultations). Ye of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services. Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases. Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases. % of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support How satisfied are you with the accessibility, timeliness and quality of support your child/young person has received? Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (Walting) Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (Walting) CAMHS Tier 2 waiting times (referral to Choice appointment) % 9 weeks or longer Proportion (%) of children and young people seen within 8 weeks of referral to (CAMHS Tier 3) first assessment	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure New KPI - no baseline 31st March 2021 (Q4) 176 482	n/a n/a 80%	43 38% Q1 99 45 100% 50% Q1 194 600	79 21% Q2 3 5 No responses (CYP survey was closed) 80% Q2 196 629 91%	93% Q3 1 No responses 93% Q3 193 193 193		G RAG A A RAG R	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey. Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. No responses in Q3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4. Accessibility = 5/5 Timeliness = 4/5 Quality = 5/5
Wo	33 34 Ref 35 36 37 38 Ref 40 41 42	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not leckulding bespoke consultations). Ye of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services. Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases % of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support How satisfied are you with the accessibility, timeliness and quality of support your child/young person has received? Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (Waiting) Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (Yeufting) CAMHS Tier 2 waiting times (referral to Choice appointment) 5% useeks or longer Proportion (%) of children and young people secessing CAMHS Tier 2 waiting times (Choice to treatment) % 9 weeks or longer Proportion (%) of children and young people seen within 8 weeks of referral to (CAMHS Tier 3) first assessment No of clients referred with waiting time of 0-4 weeks for first speech and language appointment	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure 10	n/a n/a 80%	43 38% Q1 99 45 100% 50% Q1 194 600 91% 86% 78.30%	79 21% Q2 3 3 5 No responses (CYP survey was closed) 80% Q2 196 629 91% 96%	93% Q3 1 No responses 93% Q3 193 640 TBC TBC 79%		G RAG A A RAG RAG RAG R	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey. Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. No responses in Q3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4. Accessibility = 5/5 Timeliness = 4/5 Quality = 5/5
Wo	33 34 Ref 35 36 37 38 Ref 39 40 41	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not (excluding bespoke consultations). Ye of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services. Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases % of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support How satisfied are you with the accessibility, timeliness and quality of support your child/young person has received? Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (Sutting) Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (receiving) CAMHS Tier 2 waiting times (referral to Choice appointment) % 9 weeks or longer Proportion (%) of children and young people secessing things the proposed of the	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure 10	n/a n/a 80%	43 38% Q1 99 45 100% 50% Q1 194 600 91% 86%	79 21% Q2 3 5 No responses (CYP survey was closed) 80% Q2 196 629 91% 96%	93% Q3 1 No responses 93% Q3 193 640 TBC		G RAG A A RAG RAG RAG R	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback surve Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. No responses in Q3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4. Accessibility = 5/5 Timeliness = 4/5 Quality = 5/5
Wo	33 34 Ref 35 36 37 38 Ref 40 41 42 43	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not (excluding bespoke consultations). 8 of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services. Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases % of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support How satisfied are you with the accessibility, timeliness and quality of support your child/young person has received? Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (receiving) AMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (receiving) CAMHS Tier 2 waiting times (referral to Choice appointment) % 9 weeks or longer Proportion (%) of children and young people accessing than the support of the part of the parent of the paren	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure 10	n/a n/a 80%	43 38% Q1 99 45 100% 50% Q1 194 600 91% 86% 78.30%	79 21% Q2 3 5 No responses (CYP survey was closed) 80% Q2 196 629 91% 96% 74%	93% Q3 1 No responses 93% Q3 193 640 TBC TBC 79%		G RAG A A RAG RAG RAG R	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback surve Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. No responses in Q3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4. Accessibility = 5/5 Timeliness = 4/5 Quality = 5/5
Wo	33 34 Ref 35 36 37 38 Ref 40 41 42 43	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not (excluding bespoke consultations). So of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services. Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases So of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support How satisfied are you with the accessibility, timeliness and quality of support your child/young person has received? Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (Waiting) Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (receiving) CAMHS Tier 2 waiting times (Choice to treatment) % 9 weeks or longer CAMHS Tier 2 waiting times (Choice to treatment) % 9 weeks or longer Proportion (%) of children and young people seen within 8 weeks or fereral to (CAMHS Tier 3) first assessment No of clients referred with waiting time of 0-4 weeks for first speech and language appointment (face to face or non face to face) No of clients referred with waiting time of 1-6 weeks for first speech and language appointment (face to face or non face to face)	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure 10	n/a n/a 80%	43 38% Q1 99 45 100% 50% Q1 194 600 91% 86% 78.30%	79 21% Q2 3 5 No responses (CYP survey was closed) 80% Q2 196 629 91% 96% 74% 51	93% Q3 11 No responses 93% Q3 193 640 TBC TBC 79% 37		G RAG A A RAG RAG RAG R	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey. Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. No responses in Q3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4. Accessibility = 5/5 Timeliness = 4/5 Quality = 5/5
Workstream 2 : Joint Wo Commissioning	33 34 Ref 35 36 37 38 Ref 39 40 41 42 43 44	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not lexituding bespoke consultations). Ye of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services. Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases. Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases. Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases. % of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support. How satisfied are you with the accessibility, timeliness and quality of support your child/young person has received? Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (Waiting) Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (receiving) CAMHS Tier 2 waiting times (referral to Choice appointment) % 9 weeks or longer Proportion (Ng) of children and young people seen within 8 weeks or feeferal to (CAMHS Tier 3) first assessment No of clients referred with waiting time of 0-4 weeks for first speech and language appointment (face to face or non face to face) No of clients referred with waiting time of 16+ weeks for first speech and language appointment (face to face or non face to face) No of clients referred with waiting time of 16+ weeks for first speech and language appointment (face to face or non face to face)	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure 10	n/a n/a 80%	43 38% Q1 99 45 100% 50% Q1 194 600 91% 86% 78.30% 51 49	79 21% Q2 3 5 No responses (CYP survey was closed) Q2 196 629 91% 96% 74% 51 26	93% Q3 1 No responses 93% Q3 193 640 TBC TBC 79% 37 21		G RAG A A RAG RAG RAG R	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey, Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. No responses in Q3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4. Accessibility = 5/5 Timeliness = 4/5 Quality = 5/5
Workstream 2 : Joint Wo Commissioning	33 34 Ref 35 36 37 38 Ref 40 41 42 43	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not leextuding between the Hero and those who do not leextuding bespoke consultations). Ye of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases % of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support How satisfied are you with the accessibility, timeliness and quality of support your child/young person has received? Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (Waiting) Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (Vaiting) Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (Vaiting) Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 waiting times (referral to Choice appointment) % 9 weeks or longer Proportion (%) of children and young people seen within 8 weeks of referral to (CAMHS Tier 3) first assessment No of clients referred with waiting time of 0-4 weeks for first speech and language appointment (face to face or non face to face) No of clients referred with waiting time of 4-16 weeks for first speech and language appointment (face to face or non face to face) No of clients referred with waiting time of 16+ weeks for first speech and language appointment (face to face or non face to face)	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure 10	n/a n/a 80%	43 38% Q1 99 45 100% 50% Q1 194 600 91% 86% 78.30%	79 21% Q2 3 5 No responses (CYP survey was closed) 80% Q2 196 629 91% 96% 74% 51	93% Q3 11 No responses 93% Q3 193 640 TBC TBC 79% 37		G G RAG A A A RAG RAG RAG	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey, Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. No responses in Q3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4. Accessibility = 5/5 Timeliness = 4/5 Quality = 5/5
Workstream 2 : Joint Wo Commissioning	33 34 Ref 35 36 37 38 Ref 39 40 41 42 43 44	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have an EHCP and those who do not (excluding bespoke consultations). 8 of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services. Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases % of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support How satisfied are you with the accessibility, timeliness and quality of support your child/young person has received? Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (waiting) Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (receiving) AMHS Tier 2 vawilting times (referral to Choice appointment) % 9 weeks or longer Proportion (%) of children and young people accessing this support increases (receiving) AMHS Tier 2 vawilting times (Choice to treatment) % 9 weeks or longer Proportion (%) of children and young people seems within 8 weeks or friest speech and language appointment (face to face or non face to face) No of clients referred with waiting time of 4-16 weeks for first speech and language appointment (face to face or non face to face) Not clients referred with waiting time of 1-6 weeks for first speech and language appointment (face to face or non face to face) Total clients referred with waiting time of 4-16 weeks for first speech and language appointment (face to face or non face to face)	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure 10	n/a n/a 80%	43 38% Q1 99 45 100% 50% Q1 194 600 91% 86% 78.30% 51 49	79 21% Q2 3 5 No responses (CYP survey was closed) Q2 196 629 91% 96% 74% 51 26	93% Q3 1 No responses 93% Q3 193 640 TBC TBC 79% 37 21		G G RAG A A A A A A A A	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey, Annual Review survey, EHC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. No responses in Q3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4. Accessibility = 5/5 Timeliness = 4/5 Quality = 5/5
Wo	33 34 Ref 35 36 37 38 Ref 40 41 42 43 44 45	views about services and support have been captured via SEND Surveys and SEND Participation work Total number of parents and carers who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services, including both those whose children have net HCP and those who do not (excluding bespoke consultations). Ye of parents and carers from under-represented groups who give feedback and are engaged in the development of services Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases Number of parents and carers involved in the joint commissioning cycle increases % of children and young people who said they got the right amount and type of support How satisfied are you with the accessibility, timeliness and quality of support your child/young person has received? Key Performance Indicator Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (Waiting) Number of children and young people accessing CAMHS Tier 2 (Emotional Health Service) mental health support increases (receiving) CAMHS Tier 2 waiting times (referral to Choice appointment) 59 weeks or longer CAMHS Tier 2 waiting times (referral to Choice appointment) 59 weeks or longer CAMHS Tier 2 waiting times (Choice to treatment) % 9 weeks or forger and (CAMHS Tier 3) first assessment No of clients referred with waiting time of 4-16 weeks for first speech and language appointment (face to face or non face to face) No of clients referred with waiting time of 1-6 weeks for first speech and language appointment (face to face or non face to face) Total clients referred for speech and language appointment (face to face or non face to face)	Baseline 31st March 2021 New KPI - no baseline measure 176 482 91% 93% New KPI - no baseline measure 36 81 81	n/a n/a 80%	43 38% Q1 99 45 100% 50% Q1 194 600 91% 86% 78.30% 51 49 98	79 21% Q2 3 5 No responses (CYP survey was closed) 80% Q2 196 629 91% 96% 74% 51 26 60 137	93% Q3 11 No responses 93% Q3 193 640 TBC TBC 79% 37 21 52		G G RAG A A A RAG RAG RAG	SEND Members = 11 CYP surveys = 0 (were not live until late December) Methods include: SEN Support survey, SEND Team bitesize feedback survey, Annual Review survey, ERC Needs Assessment process survey, Chat & Play and Coffee morning events. 2 parents on the annual review survey and 6 EHCNA process survey 35% of EHC plans from BAME groups Comment Therapies and short breaks involvement. There have been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. Short breaks involvement. There has been no new commissioning work that would involve co-production. No responses in Q3 due to the CYP survey being closed due to issues aroun consent until late December. This has now reopened and data will be provided for Q4. Accessibility = 5/5 Timeliness = 4/5 Quality = 5/5 Comment

W	51	% of clients waiting more than 18 weeks from referral to start of physiotherapy	30% (3/10)		45%	70%	40%		А	10/25
	52	New places created <16 (specialist)	42	42	42	42	42		G	Specialist places have been created at Alexandra School, Dysart and Malden Oaks.
	53	% of total EHC plan placements children in independent and NM sector - all age pre 16	11.7%	8%	11.20%	11.40%	10.90%		А	117/1070
	54	% of total EHC plan placements children in independent and NM sector - all age post 16	12.9%	9%	14.40%	11.90%	11.50%		Α	54/470
	55	% of total pupils with RBK EHCPs placed in independent and non-maintained special schools	12%	10%	12.0%	11.60%	11.10%		Α	171/1540
	56	% of young people with EHCPs (post-16) who are supported in further education	42%	45%	43.60%	42.12%	42.07%		А	
	57	% of young people with EHCPs (post-16) who are in vocational pathways destinations	8.21%	10%%	9.46%	9%	10%		G	45/453
		% of young people with EHCPs (16 and 17 years) who are not in education, employment or training							А	·
	58	(NEET) % of young people with SEN Support (16 and 17	5.80%	<5%	5.80%	5.80%	5.80%			Annual measure 2021/22
	59	years) who are not in education, employment or training (NEET)	3.60%	<3%	3.60%	3.60%	3.60%		А	Annual measure 2021/22
	60	% of Next Steps interviews delivered to SEND learners by the end of Year 11	180	180	20 (10.5%)	28 (27%)	72 (40%)		G	120 interviews complete year to date
			Baseline 31st						RAG	
	Ref	Key Performance Indicator % of families being supported by social care	March 2021 55.63% (%	Target 21/22	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	KAG	Comment
	61	services are also working with their local children's centre	registered with their local CC)	65%	57.46%	55.09%	твс		А	
						No data (only collect responses	No data (only collect responses			
	62	% of cyp with SEND (but without an EHC Plan) who feel listened to and included in the planning of their support.	SEN Support: 67% (14/21)	80%	50%	to SEN Support survey during Spring term)	to SEN Support survey during Spring term)			
	02	% of children and young people with SEND (but	(14/21)	8070	30%	Spring term)	Spring term)			
		without an EHC Plan) who are happy their support will help them make good progress or who feel that the support they receive is helping them make	SEN Support: 43%							
tions	63	good progress	(9/21)		50%	as KPI 64	as KPI 64			
Transit		% of parents and carers of children and young people with SEND and without an EHCP that feel			No data (only collect responses	No data (only collect responses	No data (only collect responses			
n and	64	included and listened to in the planning of their child's support including where appropriate in the	76% (65/85)		to SEN Support survey during	to SEN Support survey during	to SEN Support survey during			
ventio	64	assess, plan, do review cycle Proportion (%) of parents who feel that their EHCP	SEN Support: 58%	000/	Spring term)	Spring term)	Spring term)		G	40/40
/ Inter		will help their child make good progress Proportion (%) of parents who feel that their SEN	EHCPs: 67% SEN Support: 58%	80%	67%	82%	100%			10/10 parents
Earl		Support will help their child make good progress % of audited cases where voice of child or young	EHCPs: 67%		as KPI 64	as KPI 64	as KPI 64		А	
ream 4	67	person is clear % of children and young people reviewed by the		90%	90%	85%	85%		^	40/47
Workstream 4 : Early Intervention and Transitions	68	Education Inclusion Service who are supported to remain in mainstream primary/ secondary education.	Primary 93%/ Secondary 88%		97%	100%	97%		G	
	- 00	% of children and young people with an EHC plan	Securidary 66%		5776	100%	5776		G	
	69	in Year 7 and 8 who did not have an EHC plan in Year 5 and 6	2.70%	2.50%	3.80%	1.20%	1.40%		G	3/212 identified with an EHC Plan issued
	70	% of fixed term and permanent exclusions that relate to a child or young person with SEND	SEND Partnership: 44%	45%	37%	38%	48%		Α	
	71	Reduction in the number of those receiving medical tuition or persistence absence for emotional health / anxiety related reasons			10 + 115	7+16	9 + 258		R	Medical tuition - 2 cases in primary and 7 in secondary EWS - 6 primary and 252 secondary
		Proportion (%) of pupils with EHCPs supported in mainstream early years settings and mainstream	32.9% based on SEND Dashboard						A	
	72	school (not SRPs).	KPI	35%	34.47%	31.90%	33.20%			512/1540
	Ref	Key Performance Indicator	Baseline 31st March 2021	Target 21/22	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	RAG	Comment
	73	Number of professionals who have undertaken SEND and/or EWMH training.	584	584	444	131	220		G	
	74	% of requests where an EHC needs assessment was declined	26%	22%	31.25%	27.30%	11%		G	10/91. 22% is national average in 2020. Year to date % = 23%
	75	% of EHC Needs Assessments where it was decided not to issue a plan	3.60%	5%	6.25%	3.60%	4.40%		G	4/91. 5% is national average in 2020. Year to date % = 5%
in 8		% of children and young people with a EHCP pleased with their involvement agreeing the plan	100%	80%		No responses (CYP survey was closed)	67%		А	2/3. CYP survey was reopened at the end of December, more responses will be received in Q4.
1 Plann		% of children and young people with a EHCP who think it will help them make good progress	68%	80%	83%	No responses (CYP	33%		А	1/3. CYP survey was reopened at the end of December, more responses will be received in Q4.
ant and		% of parents and carers who are satisfied with their involvement in agreeing their child's EHCP	53/4	5570	5370	,as cioseuj	33%		G	
sessme	78	and with the end result % of EHC Plans completed within the statutory	89%	80%	83%	92%	100%			11/11 47/77. National average in 2020 was 58% and DfE data from November 2021
n 5 : As:	79	timescale (20 weeks) % of children and young people who are satisfied	90%	80%	85%	60.50%	61%		A	= 51%.
Workstream 5 : Assessment and Plannin	80	with their engagement in the annual review meeting for their EHC Plan % of parents and carers who are satisfied with	94%	80%	100%	No responses (CYP survey was closed)	67%		Α	2/3. CYP survey was reopened at the end of December, more responses will be received in Q4.
Wo	81	% of parents and carers who are satisfied with their engagement in the annual review of their child's EHC Plan	100%	80%	75%	100%	100%		G	4/4
	82	% of EHC Plans (amended) assessed to be good or better	84%	80%	84%	84%	89%		G	42/47
		Number of complaints as percentage of EHCPs % of parental appeals to the SEND Tribunal agreed	0.20%	0.25	0.21%	0.57%	0.25%		G	4 complaints
	84	% of parental appeals to the SEND Tribunal agreed in favour of the local authority			100%	0%	0%		R	0/1 in favour of LA this quarter. 1/8 tribunals year to date in favour of LA.